

## Direct sum of star matrices

K.U. Divya\* and K. Somasundaram

---

**Abstract.** Let  $S_n$  be the symmetric group of order  $n$ . The permanent of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is defined as  $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}$ . Let  $\Omega_n$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrices. A matrix  $B \in \Omega_n$  is said to be a star matrix if  $\text{per}(\alpha B + (1 - \alpha)A) \leq \alpha \text{per} B + (1 - \alpha) \text{per} A$ , for all  $A \in \Omega_n$  and all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Karuppanchetty and Maria Arulraj [3] proposed the following two conjectures:

- (i) The direct sum of two star matrices is a star (also known as the star conjecture).
- (ii) The only stars in  $\Omega_n$  are the direct sum of  $2 \times 2$  star matrices and identity matrices upto permutations of rows and columns.

In this paper, we derive some sufficient conditions for the direct sum of matrices in  $\Omega_2$  to satisfy the inequality of the star conjecture. We also provide some classes of matrices in  $\Omega_n$  which satisfy the star condition.

**AMS Subject Classification (2020):** 15A15

**Keywords:** Doubly stochastic matrices, permanents, star matrices

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega_n$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrices and  $S_n$  be the symmetric group of order  $n$ . If  $A = (a_{ij})$  is an arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrix, then the permanent of  $A$  is a scalar valued function given by

$$\text{per} A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}.$$

---

\*Corresponding author

The permanent function has been studied extensively of date, and it is known that if  $A \in \Omega_n$   $0 \leq \text{per}A \leq 1$ . The direct sum of the matrices  $A_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , is defined as follows:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i = \text{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_2 & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & A_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{0}$  is the zero matrix.

It is natural to inquire whether permanent is a convex function on  $\Omega_n$ , that is, to see the validity of the inequality

$$\text{per}(\alpha B + (1 - \alpha)A) \leq \alpha \text{per}B + (1 - \alpha)\text{per}A,$$

for all  $A, B \in \Omega_n$  and for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . That this is not the case in general was shown by Perfect [5]. However, in this paper, for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $B = I$  the author showed that

$$\text{per}\left(\frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2}A\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\text{per}A \text{ for all } A \in \Omega_n.$$

Brualdi and Newman [1] improved this result by showing that

$$\text{per}(\alpha I_n + (1 - \alpha)A) \leq \alpha + (1 - \alpha)\text{per}A,$$

for all  $A \in \Omega_n$  and for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Moreover, they established that the inequality

$$\text{per}(\alpha B + (1 - \alpha)A) \leq \alpha \text{per}B + (1 - \alpha)\text{per}A$$

will hold for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $A, B \in \Omega_n$  iff for all  $A, B \in \Omega_n$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} \text{per}A_{ij} \leq \text{per}B + (n - 1)\text{per}A, \quad (1)$$

where  $B = (b_{ij})$  and  $A_{ij}$  is the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained by deleting the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $A$  and equality holds iff  $A = B$ . As  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  the inequality (1) is also written as

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \text{per} B_{ij} \leq \text{per} A + (n-1) \text{per} B, \quad (2)$$

where  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B_{ij}$  is the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained by deleting the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $B$ .

Wang [7] called a matrix  $B$  in  $\Omega_n$  a star, if  $B$  satisfies

$$\text{per}(\alpha B + (1-\alpha)A) \leq \alpha \text{per} B + (1-\alpha) \text{per} A,$$

for all  $A \in \Omega_n$  and for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

According to the result of Brualdi and Newman [1] the necessary and sufficient condition for a matrix  $B \in \Omega_n$  to be a star matrix is that it should satisfy inequality (1). The inequality (2) is equivalent to (1) as  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

Therefore the inequality (2) is also a necessary and sufficient condition for a matrix  $B \in \Omega_n$  to be a star matrix. We call the inequality (2) as a star inequality.

Wang [7] proved that (i) every  $2 \times 2$  doubly stochastic matrix is a star and (ii) if  $B \in \Omega_n$  is a star then  $\text{per} B \geq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ .

Karuppanchetty and Maria Arulraj [3] have disproved Wang's conjecture [7] which states that for  $n \geq 3$  permutation matrices are the only stars, by proving the following matrix  $B$  to be a star matrix:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 1-x \\ 0 & 1-x & x \end{pmatrix} = 1 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} x & 1-x \\ 1-x & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

They also established that the only stars in  $\Omega_3$  are  $PBQ$  where  $B$  is as defined above and  $P$  and  $Q$  are permutation matrices.

Let

$$M(a, b, c, d) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 1 - a - b \\ c & d & 1 - c - d \\ 1 - a - c & 1 - b - d & a + b + c + d - 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_3.$$

The matrix  $B = 1 \oplus M(a, b; c, d) \in \Omega_4$  where  $0 < a, b < 1$  and  $a + b \neq 1$  is not a star since the only star in  $\Omega_3$  is  $M(a, 1 - a; 1 - a, a)$  up to permutation of rows and columns. They [3] (quoted by Cheon and Wanless [2]) proposed the following two conjectures:

- (i) The direct sum of two star matrices is a star (also known as the star conjecture).
- (ii) The only stars in  $\Omega_n$  are the direct sum of  $2 \times 2$  star matrices and identity matrices upto permutations of rows and columns.

Both conjectures are still open for  $n \geq 4$ . Maria Arulraj and K. Somasundaram [6] derived a necessary condition for a matrix  $B \in \Omega_n$  to be a star matrix. For integers  $r$  and  $n$ , ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ), let  $Q_{r,n}$  denote the set of all sequences  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$  such that  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ .

The following notations are defined by Minc [4].

For fixed  $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{r,n}$  let  $A(\alpha, \beta)$  denote the submatrix of  $A$  obtained by deleting the rows  $\alpha$  and the columns  $\beta$  of  $A$ , let  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  denote the submatrix of  $A$  formed by the rows  $\alpha$  and the columns  $\beta$  of  $A$  and let  $T(A[\alpha, \beta])$  denote the sum of all the elements of the matrix  $A[\alpha|\beta]$ .

Let

$$S_r(A, B) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in Q_{r,n}} \text{per} A[\alpha|\beta] \text{per} B(\alpha|\beta).$$

The following Lemma is due to Maria Arulraj and Somasundaram [6] which gives the necessary condition for a matrix  $B \in \Omega_n$  to be a star matrix.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $B \in \Omega_n$ . If there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix  $E \neq 0$ , such that*

the perturbation matrix  $B + E \in \Omega_n$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-2} (n - (k + 1))S_k(B, E) < 0$ , then  $B$  is not a star.

In this paper, we derive some sufficient conditions for the direct sum of matrices in  $\Omega_2$  to satisfy the inequality of the star conjecture. We also provide some classes of matrices in  $\Omega_n$  which satisfy the star inequality (2).

## 2. Direct sum of star matrices

In this section, we prove the following theorems related to the first conjecture.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$B = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \begin{pmatrix} x_i & 1 - x_i \\ 1 - x_i & x_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x_i \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2m}$ . Then  $B$  satisfies (2) for all  $A \in \Omega_n$  such that for all odd  $p$ ,  $T(A[(p, p + 1)/(p, p + 1)]) \leq 1$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \text{per} B_{ij} - \text{per} A - (n - 1) \text{per} B \\ &= a_{11} x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{12} (1 - x_1) (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \\ & \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{21} (1 - x_1) (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\ & + a_{22} x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{33} x_2 (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \\ & \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{34} (1 - x_2) (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\ & + a_{43} (1 - x_2) (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\ & + a_{44} x_2 (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + \dots - \text{per} A - (n - 1) \\ & (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\ & \leq (a_{11} + a_{12} + a_{21} + a_{22}) x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\ & + (a_{33} + a_{34} + a_{43} + a_{44}) x_2 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \dots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \cdots - \text{per} A - (n-1)(2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& \leq x_1(2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + x_2(2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \\
& \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \cdots + x_m(2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_{m-1}^2 - 2x_{m-1} + 1) \\
& - \text{per} A - (n-1)(2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& \leq (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \left[ x_1 - \frac{n-1}{m}(2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \right] \\
& + (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \left[ x_2 - \frac{n-1}{m}(2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \right] \\
& + \cdots + (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_{m-1}^2 - 2x_{m-1} + 1) \\
& \left[ x_m - \frac{n-1}{m}(2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \right] - \text{per} A.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_i - \frac{n-1}{m}(2x_i^2 - 2x_i + 1) \\
& = x_i - \frac{2m-1}{m}(2x_i^2 - 2x_i + 1) \\
& = x_i + \left(-2 + \frac{1}{m}\right)(2x_i^2 - 2x_i + 1) \leq x_i + \left(-2 + \frac{1}{m}\right)\frac{1}{2} \\
& = x_i - 1 + \frac{1}{2m} \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x_i \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2m}$ .

Therefore, the inequality (2) is satisfied.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & x_1 & 1-x_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1-x_1 & x_1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & x_2 & 1-x_2 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1-x_2 & x_2 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_m & 1-x_m & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1-x_m & x_m & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x_i \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2m}$ .

Then  $B$  satisfies the star condition for all  $A \in \Omega_n$  such that  $T(A[p, p + 1/n - q, n - q + 1]) \leq 1$  for all odd  $p, 1 \leq p \leq n$  and all odd  $q, 1 \leq q \leq n$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \text{per} B_{ij} - \text{per} A - (n-1) \text{per} B \\
&= a_{1,n-1} x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{1,n} (1 - x_1) \\
& \quad (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{2,n-1} (1 - x_1) \\
& \quad (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + a_{2,n} x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots \\
& \quad (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \cdots + a_{n-1,1} x_m (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& \quad + (1 - x_m) a_{n-1,2} (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots 2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1 + (1 - x_m) a_{n,1} \\
& \quad (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + x_m a_{n,2} (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots \\
& \quad (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) - \text{per} A - (n-1) (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& \leq (a_{1,n-1} + a_{1,n} + a_{2,n-1} + a_{2,n}) x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& \quad + \cdots + (a_{n-1,1} + a_{n-1,2} + a_{n,1} + a_{n,2}) x_m (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots \\
& \quad (2x_{m-1}^2 - 2x_{m-1} + 1) - \text{per} A - (n-1) (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& \leq x_1 (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) + x_2 (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots \\
& \quad (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \cdots + x_m (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_{m-1}^2 - 2x_{m-1} + 1) \\
& \quad - \text{per} A - (n-1) (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \\
& = (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \left[ x_1 - \frac{n-1}{m} (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \right] \\
& + (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \left[ x_2 - \frac{n-1}{m} (2x_2^2 - 2x_2 + 1) \right] + \cdots + \\
& \quad (2x_1^2 - 2x_1 + 1) \cdots (2x_{m-1}^2 - 2x_{m-1} + 1) \left[ x_m - \frac{n-1}{m} (2x_m^2 - 2x_m + 1) \right] - \text{per} A.
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_i - \frac{n-1}{m} (2x_i^2 - 2x_i + 1) \\
& = x_i - \frac{2m-1}{m} (2x_i^2 - 2x_i + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x_i + \left(-2 + \frac{1}{m}\right)(2x_i^2 - 2x_i + 1) \leq x_i + \left(-2 + \frac{1}{m}\right)\frac{1}{2} \\
&= x_i - 1 + \frac{1}{2m} \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x_i \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2m}$ .

Therefore, the inequality (2) is satisfied.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1-x \\ 1-x & x \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} y & 1-y \\ 1-y & y \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $0 \leq x, y \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $B$  satisfies (1) for matrices  $A \in \Omega_4$  where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 1-a & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 1-a \\ 1-a & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1-a & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $0 \leq a \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** A necessary and sufficient condition for a matrix  $B \in \Omega_4$  to be a star is that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^4 b_{ij} \text{per} A_{ij} - \text{per} B - 3 \text{per} A \leq 0.$$

Now, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i,j=1}^4 b_{ij} \text{per} A_{ij} - \text{per} B - 3 \text{per} A \\
&= x(a^3 + a(1-a)^2) + x(a^3 + (1-a)^2) + y(a^3 + (1-a)^2) \\
&+ y(a^3 + (1-a)^2) - \text{per} B - 3[a^4 + a^2(1-2a+a^2) + (1-a)(a^2(1-a) \\
&+ (1-a)^3)] \\
&\leq 2x(a^3 + a(1-a)^2) + 2y(a^3 + a(1-a)^2) - \frac{3}{32} - 3[a^4 + a^2 - 2a^3 + a^4
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + (1-a)(a^2 - a^3 + 1 - 3a + 3a^2 - a^3)] \\
& \leq 2(a^3 + a(1 - 2a + a^2)) - \frac{3}{32} - 3[2a^4 - 2a^3 + a^2 + a^4 - 2a^3 + a^2 + 1 \\
& + 4a^2 + a^4 - 4a - 4a^3 + 2a^2] \\
& = 4a^3 - 4a^2 + 2a - \frac{3}{32} - 3(4a^4 - 8a^3 + 8a^2 - 4a + 1) \\
& = 4a^3 - 4a^2 + 2a - \frac{3}{32} - 12a^4 + 24a^3 - 24a^2 + 12a - 3 \\
& = -12a^4 + 28a^3 - 28a^2 + 14a - \frac{99}{32} \\
& \leq 0. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1-x \\ 1-x & x \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} y & 1-y \\ 1-y & y \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $0 \leq x, y \leq \sqrt{0.375}$ . Then  $B$  satisfies the condition (2) for all  $A \in \Omega_4$  of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 1-a & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 1-a \\ 1-a & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1-a & 0 & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $0 \leq a \leq \sqrt{0.375}$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^4 a_{ij} \text{per} B_{ij} - \text{per} A - 3 \text{per} B \\
& = 2ax(2y^2 - 2y + 1) + 2ay(2x^2 - 2x + 1) - \text{per} A - 3(2y^2 - 2y + 1) \\
& (2x^2 - 2x + 1) \\
& = (2y^2 - 2y + 1)(2ax - \frac{3}{2}(2x^2 - 2x + 1)) + (2x^2 - 2x + 1) \left( 2ay - \frac{3}{2} \right. \\
& \left. (2y^2 - 2y + 1) \right) - \text{per} A.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$2ax - \frac{3}{2}(2x^2 - 2x + 1) \leq 0$$

and

$$0 \leq 2ax \leq 0.75,$$

since  $\frac{1}{2} \leq 2x^2 - 2x + 1 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq x, y, a \leq \sqrt{0.375}$ .

Hence the inequality (2) is satisfied.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} x & 1-x \\ 1-x & x \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} y & 1-y \\ 1-y & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $B$  satisfies the star condition for all  $A \in \Omega_4$  of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & a & 1-2a & 0 \\ a & a & 1-2a & 0 \\ 1-2a & 1-2a & 4a-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $0 \leq a \leq 0.1875$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^4 a_{ij} \text{per} B_{ij} - \text{per} A - 3 \text{per} B \\ &= ax(y^2 + (1-y)^2) + a(1-x)(y^2 + (1-y)^2) + a(1-x)(y^2 + (1-y)^2) \\ &+ ax(y^2 + (1-y)^2) + (4a-1)y(x^2 + (1-x)^2) + y(x^2 + (1-x)^2) \\ &- \text{per} B - 3(2x^2 - 2x + 1)(2y^2 - 2y + 1) \\ &= 2ax(y^2 + (1-y)^2) + 2a(1-x)(y^2 + (1-y)^2) + 4ay(x^2 + (1-x)^2) \\ &- \text{per} B - 3(2x^2 - 2x + 1)(2y^2 - 2y + 1) \\ &= 2a(y^2 + (1-y)^2) + 4ay(x^2 + (1-x)^2) - \text{per} B - 3(2x^2 - 2x + 1) \\ &(2y^2 - 2y + 1) \\ &= \left(2a - \frac{3}{2}(2x^2 - 2x + 1)\right)(2y^2 - 2y + 1) + \left(4ay - \frac{3}{2}(2y^2 - 2y + 1)\right) \\ &(2x^2 - 2x + 1) - \text{per} B. \\ &\frac{1}{2} \leq 2x^2 - 2x + 1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by  $\frac{-3}{2}$  throughout the above inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-3}{4} &\geq \frac{-3}{2}(2x^2 - 2x + 1) \geq \frac{-3}{2}. \\ 2a - \frac{3}{2}(2x^2 - 2x + 1) &\leq 2a - \frac{3}{4} \leq 0 \text{ if } 2a \leq \frac{3}{4} \\ \text{i.e } a &\leq \frac{3}{8}. \\ 4ay - \frac{3}{2}(2y^2 - 2y + 1) &\leq 4ay - \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y \leq 1$ ,  $4ay - \frac{3}{4} \leq 4a - \frac{3}{4} \leq 0$  if  $4a \leq \frac{3}{4}$  i.e  $a \leq \frac{3}{16}$ .

Hence the inequality (2) is satisfied.  $\square$

### 3. Conclusion.

Conjectures on star matrices are well known conjectures in the theory of permanents. In this paper, we provided some classes of matrices in  $\Omega_n$  which satisfy the inequality of the star conjecture. We also provided some classes of matrices in  $\Omega_4$  which satisfy the star condition for the direct sum of two  $2 \times 2$  star matrices.

**Acknowledgement.** We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments which improved the paper.

### References

- [1] R.A.Brualdi and M.Newman, *Inequalities for permanents and permanent minors*, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 61 (1965), 741-746.
- [2] C.S.Cheon and I.M.Wanless, *An update on Minc's survey of open problems involving permanents*, *Linear Algebra and its applications*, 403 (2005), 314-342.
- [3] C.S.Karuppanchetty and S.Maria Arulraj, *Falsity of Wang's conjecture on stars*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 277 (1998), 49-56.

- [4] H.Minc, Permanents, Encyclopedia Math. Appl, 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 1978.
- [5] H.Perfect, *An inequality for the permanent function*, Proc. Cambridge Philo Soc, 60 (1964), 1030-1031.
- [6] S.Maria Arulraj and K.Somasundaram, *Star matrices: Properties and Conjectures*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 7 (2007), 42-49
- [7] E.T.H.Wang, *When is the permanent function convex on the set of doubly stochastic matrices?*, American Mathematical Monthly, 86 (1979), 119-121.

Department of Mathematics  
Amrita School of Engineering  
Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham  
Coimbatore  
India  
E-mail: divyaku93@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics  
Amrita School of Engineering  
Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham  
Coimbatore  
India  
E-mail: s.sundaram@cb.amrita.edu

(Received: August, 2021; Revised: October, 2021)